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What is the problem?

The standard customization points cannot be customized for concepts (types satisfying some requirements) requiring recursion … nor for non deduced types.

The standard customization points *swap, begin, end, …* behave like pseudo keywords

The standard customization approach *based on ADL* cannot be used for user libraries to customize STL types

ADL= Argument Dependent Lookup
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n1691 - Customization point: Definition

“A point of customization is a **procedure** (like swap) whose implementation might be **supplied or refined** by clients for specific types, and that is used by a library component (like sort)..”
A customization point, as will be discussed in this document, is a function used by the Standard Library that can be overloaded on user-defined types in the user’s namespace and that is found by argument-dependent lookup.
What is Customization point

A customization point is **code** used by a library that can be **provided** for user-defined types or more generally for the types satisfying some specific requirements (concepts?)

We have two sides of the interface:

- The client side
- The customization side

It is not only about syntax, but about semantics.
Why do we need them?

class X {
public:
    iterator begin();
    iterator end();
    ...
};

template <class C>
void doSomething(C& c)
    for(auto it = c.begin(); it != c.end(); ++it) {...}
};

X x;
doSomething(x);
Why do we need them?

namespace std {
    template< class C >
        constexpr auto begin( C& c ) -> decltype(c.begin());
    ...

    template< class T, std::size_t N >
        constexpr T* begin( T (&array)[N] );
    ...
}

template <class C) void doSomething(C& c){
    for(auto it = std::begin(c); it != std::end(c); ++it) {...}
};
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### Design Goals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A customization points must be associated to a concept</th>
<th>Requires clients to be explicit about which concept they mean to customize</th>
<th>Provides a clean way to supply customization points for UDT or types satisfying some requirements in a specific file</th>
<th>Provides a way to extend customizations for concepts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provides a way to be explicit about where ADL shouldn't apply</td>
<td>Calls to the customization point should be <strong>optimally efficient</strong> by any reasonably modern compiler.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Provides a path on how existing code can be migrated to use the new facility.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The solution should **not** introduce excessive executable size bloat.
Goals of a (new) customization point design

A customization point shall always be associated to a concept
The customization shall respect the concept constraints
Easy to use correctly
   Easy to customize correctly (by the standard and the user)
   Easy to call correctly by the client
Hard to use incorrectly
   It is hard to customize by accident
   The client doesn't call it by accident
Efficient in time and space (this is C++)
Don't Repeat Yourself - Able to customize for concepts

As backward compatible as possible
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namespace MyContainers {
    class X {
        auto Begin(); ...
    };
    auto begin(X const & x) { return x.Begin(); }
}
namespace MyContainers {
    class X {
        auto Begin(); ...
    };
    auto begin(X const & x) { return x.Begin(); }
}
C++17 approach

namespace MyContainers {
    class X {
        auto Begin(); ...
    };
    auto begin(X const & x) { return x.Begin(); } 
}

template <class C) void doSomething(C& c){
    for (auto it = std::begin(c); it != std::end(c); ++it)
        {...}
}
C++17 approach

namespace MyContainers {
    class X {
        auto Begin(); ...
    };
    auto begin(X const & x) { return x.Begin(); }  
}

template <class C> void doSomething(C& c){
    for (auto it = begin(c); it != end(c); ++it) {
        ...
    }
}
namespace MyContainers {
    class X {
        auto Begin(); ...
    };
    auto begin(X const & x) { return x.Begin(); }
}

template <class C) void doSomething(C& c){
    using std::begin; using std::end;
    for (auto it = begin(c); it != end(c); ++it)
    {...}
}

template <class C) void doSomething(C& c){
    for (auto& v : c)
    {...}
}
C++17 approach

What we want is that the library do the ADL introduction for us

```cpp
// explicit qualification
for (auto it = stdx::range::begin(c);
    it != stdx::range::end(c); ++it)
{...}
```

User interface != customization interface. Method Pattern

stdx stands for std2 or std::experimental
Techniques – Function Overload and ADL - begin/end

Overloading when using references or pointers has a specific feature. What if we use the overloaded function with a derived class?

```cpp
class Derived : vector<int> { ... };
using stdx::range::begin;
using stdx::range::end;
Derived d;
for (auto it = begin(d);
     it != end(d); ++it)
{
    ...}
```

We cannot make the difference between the customized type and its derived classes

This can be considered an advantage or a disadvantage of function overloads, depending on what you use overloaded types for.
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Range TS - Customization point: Definition

1 A customization point object is a function object (20.9) with a literal class type that interacts with user-defined types while enforcing semantic requirements on that interaction.

2 The type of a customization point object shall satisfy Semiregular (19.4.8).

3 All instances of a specific customization point object type shall be equal.

4 The type of a customization point object T shall satisfy Function<const T, Args..>() (19.5.2) when the types of Args... meet the requirements specified in that customization point object’s definition. Otherwise, T shall not have a function call operator that participates in overload resolution.

5 Each customization point object type constrains its return type to satisfy a particular concept.

6 The library defines several named customization point objects. In every translation unit where such a name is defined, it shall refer to the same instance of the customization point object.
**N4381 - Customization point - Goals**

**Code that calls** \texttt{cust} **either qualified as**

\begin{verbatim}
stdx::cust(a);
\end{verbatim}

**or unqualified as**

\begin{verbatim}
using stdx::cust;
cust(a);  
\end{verbatim}

**should behave identically.**

In particular, it should find any user-defined overloads in the argument’s associated namespace(s).

**Calls to the customization point should be** \textbf{optimally efficient} **by any reasonably modern compiler.**

**Code that calls** \texttt{cust} **as**

\begin{verbatim}
using stdx::cust;
cust(a);  
\end{verbatim}

**should not** \textbf{bypass any constraints defined on} \texttt{stdx::cust.**}

**The solution should not introduce any potential \textbf{violations of the one-definition rule} or excessive executable size bloat.**
namespace std::experimental::ranges {
    namespace __detail {
        template <class T, size_t N>
        constexpr T* begin(T (&a)[N]) noexcept {return a;}
        template <class RangeLike>
        constexpr auto begin(RangeLike && rng) ->
            decltype(forward<RangeLike>(rng).begin()) { return forward<RangeLike>(rng).begin();}
        struct __begin_fn {
            template <class R> constexpr auto operator()(R && rng) const
                noexcept(noexcept(begin(forward<R>(rng)))) -> decltype(begin(forward<R>(rng))) {
                return begin(forward<R>(rng));
            }
        };
        // To avoid ODR violations:
        template <class T>  constexpr T __static_const{};
        // std::begin is a global function object
        namespace {
            constexpr auto const & begin = __static_const<__detail::__begin_fn>;
        }
    }
}

N4381 - Customization point: - Approach

Note that ranges don't mean a concept

Add overload of the customization function cust in a specific __detail namespace with the default definitions
namespace std::experimental::ranges {
namespace __detail {
    template <class T, size_t N>
    constexpr T* begin(T (&a)[N]) noexcept {return a;}
    template <class _RangeLike>
    constexpr auto begin(_RangeLike && rng) -> decltype(forward<_RangeLike>(rng).begin()) { return forward<_RangeLike>(rng).begin();}
    struct __begin_fn {
        template <class R> constexpr auto operator()(R && rng) const
            noexcept(noexcept(begin(forward<R>(rng)))) -> decltype(begin(forward<R>(rng))) {
            return begin(forward<R>(rng));
        }
    };
    // To avoid ODR violations:
    template <class T> constexpr T __static_const{};
    // std::begin is a global function object
    namespace {
        constexpr auto const & begin = __static_const::__detail::__begin_fn;
    }
}
N4381 - Customization point: - Approach

namespace std::experimental::ranges {
    namespace __detail {
        template <class T, size_t N>
        constexpr T* begin(T (&a)[N]) noexcept {return a;}
        template <class _RangeLike>
        constexpr auto begin(_RangeLike && rng) -> decltype(forward<_RangeLike>(rng).begin()) { return forward<_RangeLike>(rng).begin();}
        struct __begin_fn {
            template <class R> constexpr auto operator()(R && rng) const
                noexcept(noexcept(begin(forward<R>(rng)))) -> decltype(begin(forward<R>(rng))) {
                return begin(forward<R>(rng));
            }
        };
        // To avoid ODR violations:
        template <class T> constexpr T __static_const{};
        // std::begin is a global function object
        namespace {
            constexpr auto const & begin = __static_const<__detail::__begin_fn>;
        };
    }
}

Define cust as an instance of the function object __detail::cust_fn
N4381 - Customization point: - Approach

using stde::cust;
cust(a);

stde::cust is always called as the first phase of lookup, the name cust will resolve to the global object stde::cust.

The second phase of lookup is not performed when lookup has found an object.

Compilers should have no difficulty removing the global reference to a function object, eliding the parameter, removing the indirection, and inlining the call.

N4381 uses a trick (valid) to ensure that.
C++17 inline variables would solve the issue at the language level.

constexpr inline auto const begin = __detail::__begin_fn{};
1 A customization point object is a function object (20.9) with a literal class type that interacts with user-defined types while enforcing semantic requirements on that interaction.

2 The type of a customization point object shall satisfy Semiregular (19.4.8).

3 All instances of a specific customization point object type shall be equal.

4 The type of a customization point object T shall satisfy Function<const T, Args..> () (19.5.2) when the types of Args... meet the requirements specified in that customization point object’s definition. Otherwise, T shall not have a function call operator that participates in overload resolution.

5 Each customization point object type constrains its return type to satisfy a particular concept.

6 The library defines several named customization point objects. In every translation unit where such a name is defined, it shall refer to the same instance of the customization point object.
N4381 - Customization point: - drawbacks

As Range TS define function objects in namespace `std::experimental::ranges`, ADL in this namespace is not applicable.

For class customizable types define a friend function

```cpp
namespace std::experimental::ranges {
    template <class Base, TagSpecifier... Tags>
    class tagged {
        // ...
        friend void swap(tagged & x, tagged & y) {
            // ...
        }
    };
}
```

Even if this works, it makes customization points more complex to explain than necessary
N4381 - Customization point: - drawbacks

For non-class customizable types

namespace std {
    namespace __hidden {
        enum memory_order {
            // ...
        }
        // If memory_order needed to hook cust...
        size_t cust(memory_order) {
            // ...
        }
    }  
    using __hidden::memory_order;
}

This is really more complex than we would expect.

User is unable to add an overload for memory_order
std::vector<std::pair<X, Y>> vp;

framework::mem_usage(vp);

A customizable framework - Andrzej's C++ blog
https://akrzemi1.wordpress.com/2016/01/16/a-customizable-framework/
N4381 - Customization point - mem_usage

// framework/mem_usage.hpp
#include <type_traits> <product_type>
namespace std::experimental {
namespace __detail {
    template< class C >
    constexpr auto mem_usage( C& c ) -> decltype(c.mem_usage());
    template <typename T>
    constexpr enable_if_t<
        is_trivial_v<T>
    , size_t>
    mem_usage(const T& v) { return sizeof v; }

    // ...
    struct mem_usage_fn {
        template <typename T>
        constexpr auto operator()(const T& v) -> decltype(mem_usage(v))
        { return mem_usage(v); }
    };

    constexpr inline __detail::mem_usage_fn const mem_usage;
}
N4381 - Customization point - issues

// framework/mem_usage.hpp
#include <type_traits> <product_type>
namespace std::experimental {
namespace __detail {

    // ...
    template <typename T>
    auto mem_usage(T* v) -> decltype(*v);
    {
        return sizeof v + ( v ? mem_usage(*v) : 0 );
    }
    // ...

    constexpr inline __detail::mem_usage_fn const mem_usage;

} // __detail
} // std::experimental

Customization for pointers

Canot use stdx::mem_usage
N4381 - Customization point - issues

// framework/mem_usage.hpp
#include <type_traits> <product_type>
namespace std::experimental {
namespace __detail {
    // ...
    namespace pt {
        template <class PT, std::size_t... I>
        constexpr decltype(auto) mem_usage_impl( PT&& pt, index_sequence<I...> ) { return sizeof(pt)
            + ( mem_usage(product_type::get<I>(forward<PT>(pt)) ) + ... )
            - ( sizeof( product_type::get<I>(forward<PT>(pt)) ) + ... );
    }
}

    template <typename PT>
    enable_if_t<is_product_type_v<remove_cv_reference_t<PT>>, size_t>
    mem_usage(PT&& pt) { return pt::mem_usage_impl(forward<PT>(pt),
        product_type::element_sequence_for<PT>{});
    }
    // ...
}
N4381 - Customization point - issues

// framework/mem_usage.hpp
#include <type_traits> <product_type>
namespace std::experimental {
namespace __detail {
    // ...
    namespace pt {
        template <class PT, std::size_t... I>
        constexpr decltype(auto) mem_usage_impl( PT&& pt, index_sequence<I...> ) {
            return sizeof(pt)
                + ( mem_usage(product_type::get<I>(forward<PT>(pt)) ) + ... )
                - ( sizeof(product_type::get<I>(forward<PT>(pt)) ) + ... );
    }
}

template <typename PT>
enable_if_t<is_product_type_v<remove_cv_reference_t<PT>>, size_t>
mem_usage(PT&& pt) { return pt::mem_usage_impl(forward<PT>(pt),
    product_type::element_sequence_for<PT>{});
    // ...
}

#include <framework/mem_usage.hpp>
namespace stdx = std::experimental;
// ...
std::pair<int,int> v ;
std::cout << stdx::mem_usage(v);
N4381 - Customization point - issues

// framework/mem_usage.hpp
#include <type_traits> <product_type>
namespace std::experimental {
namespace __detail {
    // ...
    template <typename T>
    auto mem_usage(T* v) -> decltype(*v);

    template <typename PT>
    enable_if_t<is_product_type_v<remove_cv_reference_t<PT>>, size_t>
    mem_usage(PT&& pt);
    // ...
}

#include <framework/mem_usage.hpp>
namespace stdx = std::experimental;
//...
std::pair<int,int>* v ;
std::cout << stdx::mem_usage(v);

#include <framework/mem_usage.hpp>
namespace stdx = std::experimental;
//...
std::pair<int,int>* v ;
std::cout << stdx::mem_usage(v);

COMPILE FAILS
Order matters
N4381 - Customization point - issues

// framework/mem_usage.hpp
#include <type_traits> <product_type>
namespace std::experimental {
namespace __detail {
 // ...
namespace pt {
    template <class PT, std::size_t... I>
    constexpr decltype(auto) mem_usage_impl( PT&& pt, index_sequence<I...> ) {
        return sizeof(pt) + (mem_usage(product_type::get<I>(forward<PT>(pt))) + ... ) - ( sizeof(   product_type::get<I>(forward<PT>(pt)) ) + ... );
    }
}

    template <typename PT>
    enable_if_t<is_product_type_v<remove_cv_reference_t<PT>>, size_t> mem_usage(PT&& pt) {
        return pt::mem_usage_impl(forward<PT>(pt), product_type::element_sequence_for<PT>{});
    }
    // ...
}

#include <framework/mem_usage.hpp>
namespace stdx = std::experimental;
//...
std::pair<Point2D, int>> v ;
std::cout << framework::mem_usage(v);

COMPILE FAILS
Nested product types
Serializable

```cpp
struct player {
    std::optional<int> name;
    int hitpoints;
    int coins;
};

serializable::save(archive, opt);
...
auto x = serializable::load<optional<T>>(archive);
```

A ProductType of Serializables is Serializable

There is no parameter on which overload can dispatch
N4381 - Customization point - issues

I don't know how to apply N4381 customization approach to customize functions where the type to customize doesn't appear as function parameter

```cpp
auto x = serializable::load<optional<T>>(archive);
```

We will need to pass the parameter by reference, which implies the type is default constructed

```cpp
optional<T> x;
serializable::load(archive, x);
```

Or pass a tag that conveys the type to construct in the customization point

```cpp
auto x load(type<optional<T>>{}, Archive&) {};
```

Others generic factories cases:

```cpp
none<optional>();
make<optional>(x);
```
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"A point of customization is a procedure (like swap) whose implementation might be supplied or refined by clients for specific types, and that is used by a library component (like sort)."
## n1691 Explicit Namespaces Approach for Customizing points - Goals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eliminates the problem of unintended &quot;name reservation&quot; when overloading is used for customization</td>
<td>Allows clients to be explicit about which algorithm they mean to customize via overloading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provides a way to be explicit about where ADL should apply</td>
<td>Enables clients to be explicit about which algorithm they mean to customize via overloading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliminates unpredictable and unintended overloading due to ADL</td>
<td>Provides a clean way to supply points of customization for user-defined types</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduces the &quot;syntactic weight&quot; of client-supplied template specializations.</td>
<td>Describes how existing code can be migrated to use the new facility.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
n1691 Explicit Namespaces - Approach for Customizing points - Problems

Unintended Overloading
« When calling functions in her own namespace, a conscientious programmer must disable ADL ...»

Name Reservation - Interoperation
«...If two library implementors happen to choose the same function name as a point-of-customization with different semantics, the result is at best confusing: the user may need to create two overloads of the same name in his own namespace with different semantics. Since the name is the same, it's quite likely that the semantics will be similar, but not identical. In the worst case, the functions have identical signatures, and the libraries simply refuse to interoperate in the user's application.
A corollary problem is that when providing a customization with a given name, there's no way for a client to indicate in code which library's meaning of that name she is implementing. »

Name Reservation
« Users must take care to avoid defining these names in their own namespaces except where the intention is to customize a given library. ...»
C++17 - Customization point: Name reservation

The Standard Library already defines several customization points:

- `swap` (C++98)
- `begin`, `cbegin`, ... (C++11)
- `end`, `cend`, ....
- `size`, (C++17)
- `data`, `cdata` (C++17)

We will need more in the future

Namespace std pollution

Which names are generic enough?

Behave almost as language keywords

Avoiding ADL

```
user_ns::swap(a,b);
(swap)(a,b);
swap_fn(a,b);
```
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## Traits+Explicit namespace - Design Goals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A customization points must be associated to a concept</td>
<td>Requires clients to be explicit about which concept they mean to customize</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provides a way to be explicit about where ADL shouldn't apply</td>
<td>Provides a clean way to supply customization points for UDT or types satisfying some requirements in a specific file</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provides a way to be explicit about where ADL shouldn't apply</td>
<td>Provides a way to extend customizations for concepts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calls to the customization point should be <strong>optimally efficient</strong> by any reasonably modern compiler.</td>
<td>The solution should <strong>not</strong> introduce excessive executable size bloat.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provides a path on how existing code can be migrated to use the new facility.</td>
<td>Provides a path on how existing code can be migrated to use the new facility.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Traits + Explicit Namespaces - Approach

Add a new explicit namespace C++ and associated a namespace to the concept

Define a traits class template associated to the concept

Client specialize explicitly the traits class template associated to the concept

Code calling cust qualified as

```
concept::cust(a);
```

or unqualified as

```
using std::concept::cust;
cust(a);
```

should behave identically.

Locate all the customization points associated to a concept in the explicit namespace that forward to the traits.
Traits : Definition

« 17.3.25 [defns.traits] traits class
a class that encapsulates a set of types and functions necessary for class templates and function templates to manipulate objects of types for which they are instantiated »
Traits + Explicit Namespaces – explicit namespace

Proposal: “An explicit namespace is a namespace that requires qualification as a struct or a class does. »

```cpp
explicit namespace range {
}
```
Traits + Explicit Namespaces – explicit namespace

Requires explicit qualification

```cpp
stdx::range::begin(c);
```

Explicit introduction disallows ADL

```cpp
using stdx::range::begin;
begin(c);
```

Behaves as if `stdx::range::begin` was a function object and so ADL is not used.

```cpp
auto begin = stdx::range::begin; // P0119 overload set
begin(c);
```

Explicit introduction of two customization points conflicts

```cpp
using stdx::range::begin;
using _3pp::book::begin; // compile error?
begin(c);
```

Reduces the problem of unintended "name reservation"

Provides a way to be explicit about where ADL shouldn't apply
Traits + Explicit Namespaces – explicit namespace

Requires explicit qualification

```cpp
stdx::range::begin(c);
```

Explicit introduction disallows ADL

```cpp
using stdx::range::begin;
begin(c);
```

Behaves as if `stdx::range::begin` was a function object and so ADL is not used.

```cpp
auto begin = stdx::range::begin; // P0119 overload set
begin(c);
```

```cpp
auto begin = [](auto&&... args)
    -> decltype(stdx::range::begin(std::forward<decltype(args)>(args)...))
{
    return stdx::range::begin(std::forward<decltype(args)>(args)...);
};
```
namespace std::experimental {

explicit namespace range { // here range stands for a concept

    template <class R, class Enabler=void>
    struct traits: traits<R, when<true>> {};

    // Default failing specialization
    template <typename R, bool condition>
    struct traits<R, when<condition>>
    {
        template <class T>
        static constexpr auto begin(T&& x) = delete;
        template <class T>
        static constexpr auto end(T&& x) = delete;
    }

} // namespace range
} // namespace std::experimental

Request clients to be explicit about which concept they mean to customize.
namespace std::experimental {

explicit namespace range { // here range stands for a concept

    template <class R, class Enabler=void>
    struct traits: traits<R, when<true>> {}
;

    // Default failing specialization
    template <typename R, bool condition>
    struct traits<R, when<condition>>
    {
        template <class T>
        static constexpr auto begin(T&& x) = delete;
        template <class T>
        static constexpr auto end(T&& x) = delete;
    };

} // namespace range
}

An alias for `void`

template <bool cnd>
struct when ;

Enabler

An alias for `void`

Traits + Explicit Namespaces – traits - begin/end

© Nokia Solutions and Networks 2016
namespace std::experimental {
explicit namespace range { // here range stands for a concept
    template <class T>
    static constexpr auto begin(T&& x) noexcept
        -> decltype(traits<remove_cv_reference_t<T>>::apply_begin(forward<T>(x)))
        { return traits<remove_cv_reference_t<T>>::apply_begin(forward<T>(x)); }

    template <class T>
    static constexpr auto end(T&& x) noexcept
        -> decltype(traits<remove_cv_reference_t<T>>::apply_end(forward<T>(x)))
        { return traits<remove_cv_reference_t<T>>::apply_end(forward<T>(x)); }
}

template <class R, class Enabler=void> struct is_range : false_type {};

template <class T> constexpr bool is_range_v = is_range<T>::value;

template <class T>
struct is_range<T, void_t<decltype(range::begin(declval<T&>())),
decltype(range::end(declval<T&>()))>>::true_type {};

remove_cv-reference_t is a shorthand
Decay is not convenient here
namespace std::experimental::range {
    template <typename R>
    struct traits<R, when<has_members_begin_end_v<R>>> {
        template <class T>
        static constexpr auto apply_begin(T&& x) { return x.begin(); }
        template <class T>
        static constexpr auto apply_end(T&& x) { return x.end(); }
    };
    template <typename R>
    struct traits<R, when<not has_members_begin_end_v<R>
        and has_adl_begin_end_v<R>>> {
        template <class T>
        static constexpr auto apply_begin(T& x) { return begin(x); }
        template <class T>
        static constexpr auto apply_end(T& x) { return end(x); }
    };
}

Traits + Explicit Namespaces – begin/end

Provides a clean way to supply customization points for user defined types or types satisfying some requirements.

Provides a path on how existing code can be migrated to use the new facility.
Traits + Explicit Namespaces – Type class refinement

In the same way we inherit from a class we can define custom interfaces that refines others, e.g.

```cpp
explicit namespace functor {
    ...
}
explicit namespace applicative {
    using explicit namespace functor;
    ...
}
explicit namespace monad {
    using explicit namespace applicative;
    ...
}
```

Provides a way to extend customizations for concepts

We can as well use multiple refinement
Techniques – Class Template Specialization - mem_usage

// stdx/mem_usage.hpp
#include <type_traits>
namespace std::experimental::mem_usage_able {
    template <typename T, typename Enabler = void>
    struct trait : trait<T, when<true>> { };  
    template <typename T, bool B>
    struct trait<T, when<B>>
    {  
        static size_t apply(const T& v) = delete;
    };
    template <typename T>
    struct trait<T, when<is_trivial_v<T>>>
    {  
        static size_t apply(const T& v) { return sizeof v; }  
    };
    ...
}
Techniques – Class Template Specialization

// stdx/optional.hpp
#include <optional>
#include <stdx/mem_usage.hpp>
namespace std::experimental::mem_usage_able {
  template <typename U>
  struct traits<std::optional<U>>
  {
    template <typename T>
    static size_t apply(const T& v) -> decltype(
      mem_usage_able::mem_usage(*v)
    )
    {
      size_t ans = sizeof(v);
      if (v) ans += mem_usage_able::mem_usage(*v) - sizeof(*v);
      return ans;
    }
  };
}
Techniques – Class Template Specialization

// optional
#include <stdx/mem_usage.hpp>

namespace std::experimental::mem_usage_able {
    template <typename U>
    struct traits<std::optional<U>>
    {
        template <typename T>
        static size_t apply(const T& v) -> mem_usage_able::mem_usage(*v) {
            size_t ans = sizeof(v);
            if (v) ans += mem_usage_able::mem_usage(*v) - sizeof(*v);
            return ans;
        }
    }
}
Techniques – Class Template Specialization - mem_usage

// stdx/product_type.hpp
#include <stdx/mem_usage.hpp>
//...
namespace std::experimental::mem_usage_able {
    template <typename U>
    struct traits<U, when<predefined conditional expression>> {
        template <typename T>
        static size_t apply(const T& v) { return ...; }
    };
    ...
}
Techniques – Class Template Specialization - mem_usage

#include <stdx/mem_usage.hpp>

namespace std::experimental::mem_usage_able {
  template <typename U>
  struct traits<U, when<
    not is_trivial_v<T>,
    and is_product_type_v<T>
  >>
  {
    template <typename T>
    static size_t apply(const T& v) { return ...; }
  };
...
Techniques – Class Template Specialization - mem_usage

// stdx/product_type.hpp
#include <stdx/mem_usage>
namespace std::experimental {
namespace product_type {
  template <typename PT> auto mem_usage(PT&& v);
  struct as_mem_usage_able {
    template <typename PT>
    static auto apply(PT&& v) -> product_type::mem_usage(forward<PT>(v));
  };
}
namespace mem_usage_able {
  template <typename U>
  struct traits<U, when<is_product_type_v<T>>> :
    product_type::as_mem_usage_able {};
  ...
}}

Define a specific mem_usage function for product types
Define a specific and reusable traits
Use it by default for product types
Techniques – Class Template Specialization - mem_usage

// Conflicting.hpp
#include <stdx/product_type.hpp>

class Conflicting {};

// Conflicting is a product type and trivial

namespace framework::mem_usage_able {
  struct traits<Conflicting>
  : product_type::as_mem_usage_able {};
}

Re-Use it for a specific product type
Techniques – Class Template Specialization - mem_usage

// stdx/product_type.hpp
#include <stdx/mem_usage>
namespace std::experimental {
struct product_type_tag{};
namespace product_type {
    template <typename PT> auto mem_usage(PT&& v);
}
namespace mem_usage_able {
    struct traits<product_type_tag> {
        template <typename PT> static auto apply(PT&& v)
        -> product_type::mem_usage(forward<PT>(v));
    };
}
namespace mem_usage_able {
    template <typename U>
    struct traits<U, when<is_product_type_v<T>>> : traits<product_type_tag> {};
}
Techniques – Function Overload and ADL - begin/end

When we specialize a class template trait, the specialization only works for the type X and nothing more.

If the user wants the traits to work for derived classes either it can reuse the X specialization, for a specific class D or specialize the trait for all derived classes

namespace lib::concept {
    template <typename T>
    struct traits<T, when<
        not std::is_same_v<X,T>
        and std::is_base_of_v<X,T>>>
    : struct traits<X> {};
}
### Techniques – Standard library Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N4381 approach</th>
<th>Traits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- All the concepts specialization go in the file where the function is defined</td>
<td>+ Specialization possible in a independent file</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- NOT SFINAE friendly</td>
<td>+ SFINAE friendly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Cannot be used when the customization for concepts is recursive</td>
<td>+ works for any type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ people are used to ADL</td>
<td>- user customization less friendly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Techniques – Standard library Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N4381 approach</th>
<th>Traits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- The user cannot know the namespace of some enums types</td>
<td>- Users can add customizations for unexpected concepts (ODR?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Cannot be used to customize a user defined concept</td>
<td>+ works for any type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Cannot be used to customize a user defined concept</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Customizations can customize derived classes by default and unexpectedly</td>
<td>+ Specialization possible for a specific class, for the classes derived from a class and for a class and the derived class</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Traits + Explicit Namespaces – Open Points -

One trait by function or a trait for all the concept functions

A trait specialized by type or a trait specialized by type class

Operators
Traits + Explicit Namespaces – Open Points - Individual/group traits

One traits by function versus one trait by concept

Boost.Hana moved to one trait by function before the Boost review

Each approach has surely its advantages and liabilities

I need to do more research is this field
Explicit Namespaces – Open Points - Individual/group traits

```cpp
explicit namespace range {
    template <class T>
    static constexpr auto begin(T& x) noexcept
        -> decltype(traits<T>::apply_begin(x));

    template <class T>
    static constexpr auto end(T& x) noexcept
        -> decltype(traits<T>::apply_end(x));
}
```

```cpp
explicit namespace range {
    template <class T>
    static constexpr auto begin(T& x) noexcept
        -> decltype(trait_begin<T>::apply(x));

    template <class T>
    static constexpr auto end(T& x) noexcept
        -> decltype(trait_end<T>::apply(x));
}
```
Traits + Explicit Namespaces – Open Points - type class

A trait by type or a trait by type class.

Boost.Hana uses a tag_of<T> to share the customization of similar types.
Facebook Thrift library uses type_class<T>

```cpp
template <class T>
constexpr auto begin(T& x) noexcept
    -> decltype( traits<tag_of<T>>::begin(x) );
```

This might reduce code bloat if a lot of types share the same tag.
Once you specialize tag_of<T>, any customization of T must be done using tag_of<T>.
Alternatively the user can reuse explicitly traits specializations for each concept.

```cpp
template <class R>
struct traits<optional<R>>: traits<nullable_tag> {};```
Traits + Explicit Namespaces – Open Points - type class

// stdx/product_type.hpp
#include <stdx/mem_usage>
namespace std::experimental {
    struct product_type_tag{};
    namespace product_type {
        template <typename PT> auto mem_usage(PT&& v);
    }
    namespace mem_usage_able {
        struct traits<product_type_tag> {
            template <typename PT> static auto apply(PT&& v)
                -> product_type::mem_usage(forward<PT>(v));
        };
    }
}
namespace mem_usage_able {
    template <typename U>
    struct traits<U, when<is_product_type_v<T>> : traits<product_type_tag> {};
}
Having an infix syntax is sometimes more readable. Operators are usually found by ADL and cannot be friendly qualified

```cpp
auto x = monad::chain(monad::chain(m, f1), f2);
auto x = m >> f1 >> f2;
```

**UCS** (Uniform call syntax) **couldn’t** help here as far as the function call must be qualified

```cpp
auto x = m.chain(f1).chain(f2);
```

Some functional libraries provide some kind of **infix** syntax for binary functions

```cpp
auto x = m <chain> f1 <chain> f2; // Fit
auto x = m ^chain^ f1 ^chain^ f2; // Hana
```
Traits + Explicit Namespaces – Operators

Locate the operators associated to a concept in a specific operators namespace

```cpp
namespace monad::operators {
    template <class Monad, class Callable>
    auto operator>>(Monad&& m, Callable&& f) -> monad::chain(m,f);
}
```

Explicit usage: The user needs to introduce this operator namespace

```cpp
using namespace monad::operators;
auto x = m >> f1 >> f2;
```

Usable inside Generic code
Outline

What is a customization point and why do we need them?
Goals for a good design
C++17 approach
N4381 - Range TS - customization point approach
N1691 Explicit namespace
Traits: Alternative customization point approach

Conclusion
Conclusions

The standard customization points cannot be customized in general for concepts
… nor for non deduced types

The standard customization ADL approach cannot be used for user libraries
Teaching people the standard customization approach doesn't help them build their frameworks

Method Pattern: split the interface into client and customization interface.
Generally being explicit is safer than implicit.
The presented approach solves some of the major ADL issues
The presented approach is more cumbersome that it should.
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Backup
nullable::none<TC> / make<TC>(x)

using stdx;
auto no  = nullable::none<std::optional>();
auto nup = nullable::none<std::unique_ptr>();
auto nsp = nullable::none<std::shared_ptr>();
auto na  = nullable::none<std::any>();

There is no parameter on which overload could dispatch

auto no  = factory::make<std::optional>(x);
auto nup = factory::make<expected<_,E>>(x);
auto nsp = factory::make<std::future>(x);
functor::transform

std::optional<Person> opt_p;
std::array<Person> arr_p;
std::variant<Person, Company> var_p_c;
auto age = [](auto const& x)-> unsigned {return x.age();};
using stdx;
auto res1 = functor::transform(age, opt_p);
auto res2 = functor::transform(age, arr_p);
auto res3 = functor::transform(age, var_p_c);

std::variant<unsigned, unsigned> <=> unsigned

Given $F(T) \rightarrow U$

- $\text{transform}(F, \text{optional}<T>) \rightarrow \text{optional}<U>$
- $\text{transform}(F, \text{vector}<T>) \rightarrow \text{vector}<U>$
Traits as Customization Points in C++17

The Standard Library already defines several customization points used by the algorithms that are not functions:

```cpp
numeric_traits<T>
char_traits<T>
iterator_traits<T>
allocators_traits<T>
pointer_traits<T>

common_type<T,U>
regex_type<T,U>
use_allocator

treat_asFloating_point<Rep>
duration_values<Rep>

tuple_size<T>
tuple_element<I,T>

default_order<T>
```

**Executors** (Concurrency and Parallelism TS)

**Coroutines** TS
Traits as Customization Points in proposals or TS

The Standard Library already defines several customization points used by the algorithms:

- executors
- coroutines
## Techniques – User defined library Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N4381 approach</th>
<th>Class Template Specialization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- depends possibly on the whole standard library</td>
<td>+ Specialization possible in a independent file</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- NOT SFINAE friendly</td>
<td>+ SFINAE friendly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- canot recurse for std and concepts</td>
<td>+ works for any type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- less usual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Traits + Explicit Namespaces – Operators

Locate all the operators in a operators namespace with an global adl tag

```cpp
// operators_adl.hpp
namespace operators { struct adl {};
} // monad/operators.hpp
#include <operators_adl.hpp>
template <class T> struct monad_operators;
namespace operators {
    template <class Monad, class Callable>
    requires monad_operators_v<Monad>
    auto operator>>(Monad&& m, Callable&& f) \rightarrow monad::chain(m, f);
}
```

when the class inherits from this ADL tag.

```cpp
class M : operators::adl \{ \ldots \};
template <> struct monad_operators<M> : true_type;
```

Usage

```cpp
M m; auto x = m >> f1 >> f2;
```